CID-078, an orally bioavailable cyclin A/B-RxL inhibitor

elicits anti-tumor activity in neuroblastoma models

Dylan M.M. Jongerius¹, Marlinde L. van den Boogaard¹, Michael C. Cox², Li-Pen Tsao², Lisa M. Kopp², Li-Fen Liu², Frank Westermann³, Jan J. Molenaar¹

1. Princess Máxima Center for Pediatric Oncology, Utrecht, The Netherlands

2. Circle Pharma Inc., South San Francisco, USA

3. Hopp Children's Cancer Center Heidelberg (KiTZ) and Division of Neuroblastoma Genomics, German Cancer Research Center (DKFZ), Heidelberg, Germany

Neuroblastoma (NB) is characterized by frequent deregulation of the G1 cell cycle checkpoint. A hallmark of NB is elevated oncogenic E2F activity driven by alterations in the CDK-RB-E2F axis, which is associated with poor survival. Drugs that selectively target these aberrant cell cycle mechanisms therefore hold great potential to enhance NB treatment strategies. CID-078 is a clinical-stage, orally bioavailable, and cell-permeable macrocyclic inhibitor. It selectively disrupts protein interactions between cyclin A2–Cdk2, cyclin B1–Cdk1, and their cell cycle substrates by targeting the RxL binding motif, a critical interaction site. This disruption has been shown to induce DNA damage via E2F1 hyperactivation, promote the formation of neomorphic cyclin B-CDK2 complexes, and activate the spindle assembly checkpoint (SAC), ultimately leading to synthetic lethality in various E2F-driven models of adult cancers¹. Here, we present the preclinical evaluation of the anti-tumor effects of CID-078 in multiple *in vitro* models of NB.

Hydrophobic patch **CID-078** RxL motif Active kinase site CDK1/2 DNA damage & Substrate Cyclin A/B prolonged SAC activation *induce apoptosis* ---- Hyperactivation ----- Formation -----•••• Cyclin B E2F-1 Substrate DNA damage & replication stress Μ G_1 S \mathbf{G}_2

CDKN2A inactivation sensitizes SH-SY5Y



Neuroblastoma cell lines show sensitivity to CID-078



Figure 1 - a. Waterfall plot of in vitro response to CID-078 in a panel of 14 neuroblastoma cell lines. Cells were treated for a total of 72 hours after which cell growth was analyzed by MTT assay. Plotted absolute Gl⁵⁰ values are averages of at least two independent experiments. **b.** Heatmap describing chromosomal alterations, genomic alterations, Hallmark pathway scores, ADRN signature score, MES signature score, and CID-078 sensitivity in a selection of screened cell lines. From left to right: high CID-078 sensitivity to low CID-078 sensitivity based on area under the curve. Hallmark scores were calculated using the Gene Set Variation Analysis method². Signature scores were calculated using the gene signature from Van Groningen et al. 2017³. All data was plotted using the R2 Bioinformatics Platform⁴. experiments.

Figure 2. Drug response curve displaying CID-078 sensitivity of SH-SY5Y wild-type cells (GI⁵⁰ >10 μ M) and two SH-SY5Y clones in





Figure 4. Immunoblot analysis of various cell lines after 24h of CID-078 treatment. SY5Y C7.3 and SY5Y C8.10 are clones with CDKN2A inactivation. hTERT-RPE1 cells are included as a healthy control line with intact, non-aberrant cell cycle regulation.

Our preclinical evaluation demonstrates that CID-078 shows strong anti-tumor activity across multiple *in vitro* NB models. Many models exhibited high sensitivity, consistent with the rationale of targeting deregulated cell cycle pathways in NB. Due to NB's genomic heterogeneity, identifying a single predictive biomarker remains challenging. However, CDKN2A deletion emerged as a potential marker, as its inactivation sensitized SH-SY5Y cells to CID-078. This suggests that CDKN2A-deleted cells exhibit enhanced sensitivity to CID-078, which is potentially mediated by increased E2F activity. Additional biomarkers such as MYCN amplification are under investigation. Mechanistic studies confirm CID-078's mode of action in NB cells, showing induction of DNA damage, spindle assembly checkpoint (SAC) activation, and G2/M arrest. FACS analysis further demonstrated G2/M-phase cell cycle arrest, which is consistent with SAC engagement.

Figure 5. Cell cycle analysis by flow cytometry of various cell lines after 24 hours of CID-078 treatment. SJNB6 (sensitive) is shown alongside TR14 (resistant) to illustrate the differences in G2/M-phase arrest observed across the tested cell line panel. The table shows the percentage increase in the G2/M-phase population in cells treated with 100 nM CID-078 compared to the DMSO control, alongside compound sensitivity (AUC) after 72 hours of treatment.

Overall, these findings support CID-078 as a promising therapeutic candidate for NB, with potential biomarker-driven applications.

Contact: d.m.m.jongerius@prinsesmaximacentrum.nl





References

1. Singh, S. et al. bioRxiv 2024.08.01.605889 (2024). 2. Hänzelmann S. *et al.* BMC Bioinformatics 14, 7 (2013). 3. Van Groningen, T. *et al*. Nat Genet 49, 1261–1266 (2017). 4. R2: Genomics Analysis and Visualization Platform (http://r2.amc.nl)

